SINGLE BENCH (9)

CS-2002

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

W. P. (S) No. 157 / 2006 7

PETITIONERS : 1. Jagdish Prasad Shukla, S/o. Shri Neelkanth Shukla, aged about 38 years, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School– Kabirdham (Champa), Block – Baloda, Distt. – Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

2. Dinesh Kumar Rathore, S/o. Shri Ramkhilawan Rathore, aged about – 38 years, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Middle School, Dhurkot (Janjgir), Block – Nawagarh, Distt.– Janjgir Champa (C.G.)

P.R. No. 29571/06 Presented by A.S. Rajpoot dated 30/12/06

RECEIVED COPY Baldeo 30-12-06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgrh, Through – The Secretary Department of Education D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Director Public Instruction, Chhattisgarh Govt. Raipur

3. District Education Officer, Distt.- Janjgir – Champa (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG 24/12/06 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF SUITABLE WRIT, WRITS, DIRECTION ETC. TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

The petitioner above named most respectfully submits as under:-

(25)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P.(S) No. 155 of 2007

Petitioner : Gend Ram Kurrey

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

And

W. P.(S) No. 156 of 2007

Petitioners : Jagdish Prasad Shukla and another

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Present: Mr. Anil Singh Rajput, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

O R D E R

(Passed on this 17th Day of January, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts of the present writ petitions are similar to that of in W. P. (S) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 7.11.2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, these writ petitions are allowed in terms of the order dated 7.11.2006 passed by this Court in W.P. (S.) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others). No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petition.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash